

Abraham Kintzings. Remarks & Objections to the Acct^t of
Joseph Pemberton As presented for Settlement

Viz I find a deficiency in the Yearly Returns of the
Several Overseers of cattle sheep &c. Dying Killed & Lost
during the Partnership — I Request said Return be
produced, as thereby we shall be enabled to settle a Matter
in dispute concerning Hides sold to John Morris Sumner.

Query — Why am I Charged with Cleys driving cattle Jan^y 7. 1777?
Why Charged April 8th 1775 to Cash paid Morris for Meadows
given up by £150. and April 29th To Ditto £292. Also paid Morris for D^e £300
Pemberton — & Aug^r 12th Ditto paid by Jas^s M^r Sutton £60. & the 13th To D^e paid in
full (20 acres) £183. 12. 0 with 30s. Dumb. T. P. W. Parg for Deed.
Wholly Objected to Amount... £987. 2. 0

1776 July 19th. The £50. Received was not on acc^t of P. Sumner
but my own —

1777 March 22^d. I know not of giving an Order to pay W^m Ealy
Query. D^e 29th. Why Charge £500. Paid H. Ekroyd, when I paid him
that sum on my private Acc^t. Therefore Objected to —

D^e April 4th. Why Charged with Sudders cattle when J. Pendant &
Nicholas Deal & myself had each a part of them

June 20 Charge of Utensils Objected to Unless an Appraisement be produced.

Why Charge for Buying & driving cattle when 'twas Agreed
that that was to be J. Pembertons part of the Business

N.B I find nothing is done toward reducing the Entries
on his Books to Hire altho I'm On Charge he has made
of an Award of £1822. 10. 0. a difference will arise by the
Scale of at least £1200. to me

a Copy

Copy of A. K. H. H. H.
objection to P. H. H.
charges —